Docket No.: 4468-020 PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICERECEIVED

AUG 0 9 2002

Technology Center 2100

h re Application of

Masayuki Tomita

Serial No. 09/901,150

Filed: July 10, 2001

BIT ERROR MEASUREMENT APPARATUS AND METHOD, AND RECODI For:

MEDIUM

Group Art Unit: 2133

Examiner:

## INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D. C. 20231

Sir:

In accordance with the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.56, 1.97 and 1.98, the attention of the Patent and Trademark Office is hereby directed to the documents listed on the attached form PTO-1449. respectfully requested that the documents be expressly considered during the prosecution of this application, and that the documents be made of record therein and appear "References Cited" on any patent to issue therefrom.

This Information Disclosure Statement is being filed within three months of the U.S. filing date OR before the mailing date of a first Office Action on the merits. No certification or fee is required.

non-English language reference was cited Serial No. 09/901,150

corresponding foreign application search report or office action and its relevance discussed therein. A copy of the foreign search report or office action, together with an English language version thereof, is attached for the Examiner's information.

Respectfully submitted,

LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN & BERNER, LLP

Randy A. Noranbrock

Registration No. 42,940

1700 Diagonal Road, Suite 310

Alexandria, Virginia 22314

(703) 684-1111 RAN:jad **Date:** August 7, 2002 Facsimile: 703-518-5499







Your ref: OF CHEE P16372.4 Application No.

Applicant:

Advantest Corporation

Examiner:

Matthew Nelson 01633 814390

Date of report: 18 February 20

Tel:

Patents Act 1977
Combined Search and Examination Report under Sections 17 & 186970/0gy Center 2100

# Novelty

The invention as defined in claims 5-8 is not new because it has already been 1. disclosed in the following documents:

> EP 0961437 A1 (ALCATEL) See whole document. EP 0730359 A2 (FUJITSU) See whole document. WO 96/17454 A1 (QUALCOMM INCORP.) See whole document. US 5359600 (UEDA et al) See whole document.

- The cited documents meet claims 5, 7 and 8. In EP '437, EP '359 and US '600 test 2. packets/cells are sent during normal system operation by utilising empty transmission packets/cells. In WO '454 control data is sent at the same time as test data, and the receiver "bifurcates" (extracts) the test data.
- EP '437, EP '359 and WO '454 disclose pseudo-random or algorithm based test 3. sequences and so also meet claim 6.
- 4. Depending on how claims 5-8 are amended, further searching may be necessary.

## Plural invention

Consideration as to whether the claims relate to a single invention has been deferred pending amendment of claims 5-8.

## Scope of the invention

Claim 1 defines "means for extracting an equivalence packet", "means for comparing" and "means for judging". The word "for" does not limit the invention to an apparatus necessarily operating in the way described, the apparatus simply has to be suitable for such purposes. Arguably the prior art cited above disclose arrangements suitable for receiving a packet of all ones or zeros and calculating the bit errors of such a packet, since their operation can be altered through suitable programming of the test pattern generator etc. Consequently claim 1 requires amendment to clearly distinguish it from the prior art. This could be achieved, for example, by removing the word "for" from claim 1. This objection also applies to claims 3 and 4 which contain similar wording.







Your ref:

MJB06998GB

Application No: GB 0116372.4

Date of Report: 18 February 2002

Page 2/2

[ Examination Report contd. ]

## Clarity

7. Claims 1, 3-5, 7 and 8 would be clearer if they referred to judging the <u>digital</u> data as an error when the result of the comparison indicates a difference, as this would make it clear that the error is associated with the <u>digital</u> data of the extracted packet rather than the <u>comparison</u> data.







**Application No:** 

GB 0116372.4

Claims searched:

1-8

**Examiner:** 

Date of search:

Matthew Nelson 15 February 2002

# Patents Act 1977 **Search Report under Section 17**

## **Databases searched:**

UK Patent Office collections, including GB, EP, WO & US patent specifications, in:

UK Cl (Ed.T): H4L (LFMA, LFMX); H4P (PEV, PEM, PEUM)

Int Cl (Ed.7): H04L 1/20, 1/24, 12/26

Online: WPI, EPODOC, JAPIO Other:

## Documents considered to be relevant:

Category	Identity of document and relevant passage		Relevant to claims
х	EP 0961437 A1	(ALCATEL) See whole document.	5-8
A	EP 0849908 A2	(ALCATEL) See the abstract.	
X	EP 0730359 A2	(FUJITSU) See whole document.	5-8
A	WO 97/37453 A1	(BRITISH TELECOMM.) See whole document.	
Х	WO 96/17454 A1	(QUALCOMM INCORP.) See whole document, in particular p. 7, lines 19-25 and p. 10, lines 25-29.	5-8
A	US 5982752	(KATUSZONEK) See the abstract and col. 5, lines 7-16.	
Α	US 5726991	(CHEN et al) See e.g. the abstract and col. 4, lines 2-4.	
Х	US 5359600	(UEDA et al) See whole document.	5,7 & 8

Member of the same patent family

- Document indicating technological background and/or state of the art.
- Document published on or after the declared priority date but before the filing date of this invention.
  - Patent document published on or after, but with priority date earlier than, the filing date of this application.

Document indicating lack of novelty or inventive step

Document indicating lack of inventive step if combined with one or more other documents of same category.